The James



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY COMPREHENSIVE CANCER CENTER

Novel Metrics of HER2 Heterogeneity in HER2-Positive and HER2-Low Breast Cancer via High Dimensional Multiplexed Immunofluorescence Spatial Profiling

David Tallman¹, Anna Juncker-Jensen, Harry Nunns, Kevin Gallagher², Heather Lefebvre¹, Karen Yamamoto², Katherine Collier¹, Mark Vater¹, Ava Strahan¹, Ava Willoughby¹, Olivia Bouchard¹, Madison Kingsbury¹, Mathew Cherian¹, Ashley Pariser¹, Preeti Sudheendra¹, Bhuvaneswari Ramaswamy¹, Margaret Gatti-Mays¹, Ainura Kyshtoobayeva², Zaibo Li¹, Kai Johnson¹, Daniel G. Stover¹



- immunofluorescence (IF) using NeoGenomics MultiOmyx, with adjacent section HER2 immunohistochemistry (IHC).
- 1166 regions of interest were profiled from 208 unique tumors. Median follow-up from diagnosis was 143 months and 98.9% (n=183/185) received HER2-directed therapy in the (neo)adjuvant or metastatic setting.

other metric with correlation from 1 (positive correlation; red) to -1 (negative correlation; blue); x indicates nonsignificant association (p>0.05). (D) Comparison of HER2het metrics and HER2 IHC receptor status, divided as 'negative' (HER2 IHC 1+/2+ and in-situ hybridization/ISH negative) versus positive (HER2 IHC 3+ and/or ISH positive). (E) Correlation plot of mean cell expression of 25 markers in multiplexed IF (x-axis) relative to mean membrane HER2 expression and HER2het metrics (y-axis) with correlation from 1 (positive correlation; red) to -1 (negative correlation; blue); x indicates non-significant association (p>0.05).

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at Daniel.stover@osumc.edu for permission to reprint and/or distribute.

¹The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA; ²NeoGenomics, Fort Myers, Florida, USA



Figure 5. HAIQu: Translating HER2 Immunofluorescence to HER2 Immunohistochemistry. (A) HAIQu schematic overview of classification of IF images at single cell level, based on cell mask, HER2 membrane expression (central images), then binned based on intensity and completeness of membrane intensity (right), with percent of tumor and bin intensity integrated to correspond to IHC 0/1+/2+/3+ (bottom right). (B) F1 score of HAIQu by TMA slide. (C) Performance of HAIQu (x-axis) relative to clinical IHC (y-axis).

Individual Tumoi

Figure 6. Derivation and Distribution of 6-Marker Single Tumor Cell HER2 Signaling Phenotypes. (A-B) Two representative tumor samples, with visualized 6-color immunofluorescence (IF) image of HER2-positive tumor with HER2, pAKT, and KI67 (top panel) and HER3, estrogen receptor (ER), and EGFR (bottom panel). (C) Selforganizing map of 392,984 HER2+/PanCK+ tumor cells with color pie size representing intensity of that marker within each node. (D) Tumor cell phenotype clusters generated using PhenoGraph, with heatmap bar indicating relative intensity of marker within cluster. (E) UMAP of 392,984 HER2+/PanCK+ tumor cells, with visual representation of 20,000 representative cells. (F) Stacked bar plot of relative proportion of tumor cells from phenotype clusters in (C) on y-axis with each individual tumor along x-axis. (G) Percent of high single-cell HER2 heterogeneity cells (y-axis) relative to tumor cell phenotype clusters.

Conclusions

- We present novel metrics of HER2 heterogeneity via HDmIF, which offer detailed characterization of the diversity of HER2exp in a large, clinicallyannotated cohort with long-term follow-up.
- Single-cell phenotypic analyses of 392,984 HER2+/PanCK+ tumor cells' concurrent expression of six HER2-positive breast cancer related proteins (HER2, HER3, EGFR, pAKT, ER, KI67) resulted in 7 HER2 signaling cell phenotypes.
- Our HAIQu scoring system effectively translated adjacent section HER2 IF to IHC with K-folds cross validation of 11 TMA blocks demonstrating good performance of HER2 mask model (train F1 score 0.74; test F1 0.76).

Support





MICS





stetance spielman FUND FOR BREAST CANCER RESEARCH